# MARKET COMMENTARY

BY BRENT SCHUTTE, CHIEF INVESTMENT STRATEGIST, NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL WEALTH MANAGEMENT COMPANY FOURTH OUARTER 2017

### This Is (Not Yet) the Summer of 1969

What a difference a year makes.

It is hard to recall, but at the turn of the calendar to 2017, investors were debating whether stronger economic growth would ever return, largely because it had been so weak for much of late 2015 and 2016. Even as consumer and business confidence surveys were pointing to a brighter future, many investors were losing patience waiting for them to be reflected in strong "actual" economic growth. Indeed, the debate on Wall Street became whether the historical link between "soft" survey or feelings-based data and actual "hard" bean-counting economic growth (gross domestic product) was broken.

We expressed our belief that soft data surveys had earned the right to be called leading economic indicators because they had historically led the hard data. Put more simply, before you "actually" act, you must "feel" confident first. And, right on cue, economic growth over the past three quarters has accelerated. After back-to-back 3% plus quarter-over-quarter real economic growth in the second and third quarters, the United States economy looks set to post 3% plus fourth-quarter growth. This would mark the first time since late 2004 that the U.S. economy has posted three consecutive 3% plus quarters in a row.

And this growth expansion has occurred before tax reform has taken hold. While much of the current tax reform chatter revolves around the intermediate- to longer-term outcome, we believe that argument is highly subjective because the economic models that are being used to moderate the discussion have high margins of error. Allow us to focus on the more precise, nearer-term effects of this bill. Put simply, it is fiscal stimulus. And this stimulus is occurring at a time when future indicators of growth already look rather robust.

If the return of stronger economic growth was the big surprise story of 2017, after a period of weakness in the prior years led many to doubt its return, we believe the return of inflationary pressures that will eventually result from this growth will become the big surprise story of 2018 — again, against a substantial wall of doubt

## What Are Inflation Indicators Telling Us?

While many economic variables behaved consistently with rising economic growth in 2017, the one variable that defied logic was inflation. Indeed, after spending 2016 recovering from its oil/Commodities-induced fall, surprisingly, core measures of consumer price inflation (CPI) have fallen for much of 2017. This has led many to question whether inflation is a relic of the past and to posit that this time is different.

Forgive us if we are having a bit of deja vu. We believe this debate feels a lot like last year's economic growth argument. We note that many leading measures of inflation are currently pointing to a high likelihood of rising price pressures in 2018. However, due to the lack of its arrival in the actual hard data measures of inflation (think actual CPI), many are growing impatient and suggesting the link is broken. Allow us to, once again, express our disagreement.

Inflation is a lagging indicator. Today's overall inflation levels tell a story of what happened 12 to 18 months ago, a time when the global economy was just emerging from a weak period of growth caused by a supply-driven oil war that knocked manufacturing and trade into near recession-like conditions. Now with U.S. and global manufacturing rapidly accelerating, global trade humming and the U.S. and global consumer remaining strong, we believe that future inflation looks set to move higher.

Inflation is a volatile data series and economists are interested in separating shorter-term noise from the intermediate-term trend. With this goal in mind, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York has built an Underlying Inflation Gauge (UIG) that contains many leading indicators of trend inflation. And despite the overall declining inflation in 2017, the UIG continued to moved higher throughout the year and rose to a post Great Recession high. Based upon its past relationship that shows it leads core CPI – the UIG points to rising future inflation in 2018.



#### Is This Cycle Unique?

While many continue to state that this expansion is extremely unique, we believe they are ignoring history. Indeed, a review of the 1960s reveals many similarities to today's environment. Most importantly, inflation in the early 1960s was extremely low. From December 1958 to February 1966 on every measured month, CPI was below 2%. The Federal Reserve initially responded to this with low short-term interest rates, and then in 1961 they embarked on Operation Twist (quantitative easing) with a goal of pushing long-term rates low.

As a result, U.S. bond yields resided at low levels, and stocks were priced at high price-to-earnings multiples. In 1965, realized equity market volatility hit all-time low levels. Further reflecting complacency, according to a research paper written by Harvard Professor Paul Schmelzing, "Observers in 1965 were trapped in a lower for longer inflation rate consensus belief."

If that sounds similar, it should. For much of the past eight years, the Fed has missed its 2% inflation target. Responding to this, the Fed has held down short-term interest rates and performed numerous iterations of quantitative easing, including Operation Twist II, to push down long-term rates. Thus, bond yields reside at low levels (on some measures not seen since the early 1960s), and equity markets trade at high price-to-earnings multiples. Realized equity market volatility during the fourth quarter hit the lowest level since 1965. Furthermore, the difference between the high and low 10-year Treasury yield was the narrowest in 2017 in any given year going back to 1965. And importantly, the current conventional wisdom, almost to the point of absolute certainty, remains lower for longer with regard to inflation and interest rates.

What happened next, you may ask? Inflation finally arrived in early 1966. This caused a bond market hiccup that led to a short but sharp equity market correction. Indeed, after a 20% drop during the summer of 1966, the equity market shifted course and recovered all its losses by the spring of 1967. And much as we would forecast today, the equity market kept making new highs until the summer of 1969, right before the economic cycle finally drew to a close in early 1970 with a recession.

#### The Bottom Line

We continue to have a relatively positive outlook in the intermediate term because we continue to believe the U.S. economy has further room to run. However, we believe conditions are ripe for the long-awaited bond market correction in 2018. And because all asset classes trade on a relative valuation basis, we worry that this will likely cause a stock market correction. In other words, the reason U.S. stocks are currently expensive is because bond yields are so low. We worry that if bond yields rise, equity markets will likely reprice lower as valuations contract. Putting it in the context of our title, we believe that today is more akin to 1966 (not 1969), and we would encourage investors to look through any potential market correction.

However, we reiterate our call that portfolios should be broadly diversified as we move nearer to the end of this economic and market cycle. We believe that this mix should include Commodities as a (increasing) part of that mix. Why? Because they historically have a high correlation with inflation and may serve as an important asset class to help ballast any potential stock and bond market decline.

Happy New Year!

Northwestern Mutual is the marketing name for The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, Milwaukee, WI (NM) (life and disability insurance, annuities and life insurance with long-term care benefits) and its subsidiaries. Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company®, Milwaukee, WI (investment management, trust services, and fee-based financial planning), subsidiary of NM, limited purpose federal savings bank. **Northwestern Mutual Investment Services, LLC (securities)**, subsidiary of NM, broker-dealer, registered investment adviser, member FINRA and SIPC.

Brent Schutte, CFA®, is chief investment strategist of Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company. The opinions expressed are those of Brent Schutte as of the date stated on this report and are subject to change. There is no guarantee that the forecasts made will come to pass. This material does not constitute investment advice and is not intended as an endorsement of any specific investment or security. Information and opinions are derived from proprietary and non-proprietary sources. Sources may include Bloomberg, Morningstar, FactSet and Standard & Poors.

Please remember that all investments carry some level of risk, including the potential loss of principal invested. Indexes and/or benchmarks are unmanaged and cannot be invested in directly. Returns represent past performance, are not a guarantee of future performance and are not indicative of any specific investment. Diversification and strategic asset allocation do not assure profit or protect against loss. Although stocks have historically outperformed bonds, they also have historically been more volatile. Investors should carefully consider their ability to invest during volatile periods in the market. The securities of small capitalization companies are subject to higher volatility than larger, more established companies and may be less liquid. With fixed income securities, such as bonds, interest rates and bond prices tend to move in opposite directions. When interest rates fall, bond prices typically rise; and

conversely, when interest rates rise, bond prices typically fall. This also holds true for bond mutual funds. When interest rates are at low levels, there is risk that a sustained rise in interest rates may cause losses to the price of bonds or market value of bond funds that you own. At maturity, however, the issuer of the bond is obligated to return the principal to the investor. The longer the maturity of a bond or of bonds held in a bond fund, the greater the degree of a price or market value change resulting from a change in interest rates (also known as duration risk). Bond funds continuously replace the bonds they hold as they mature and thus do not usually have maturity dates and are not obligated to return the investor's principal. Additionally, high-yield bonds and bond funds that invest in high-yield bonds present greater credit risk than investment-grade bonds. Bond and bond fund investors should carefully consider risks such as interest rate risk, credit risk, liquidity risk and inflation risk before investing in a particular bond or bond fund.

The 10-year Treasury Note Rate is the yield on U.S. Government-issued 10-year debt.

The gross domestic product (GDP) is the amount of goods and services produced in a year, in a country.

The Consumer Price Index (CPI) examines the weighted average of prices of a basket of consumer goods and services, such as transportation, food and medical care. Core Consumer Price Index (CPI) is a method for measuring core inflation. It is the Consumer Price Index (CPI) excluding energy and food prices.

